

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,927 10/27/2003		Stephen C. Porter	03-264 (US01) 5083		
41696 7	590 05/08/2006		EXAMINER		
VISTA IP LAW GROUP LLP			WEBB, SARAH K		
12930 Saratoga	a Avenue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Suite D-2			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
Saratoga, CA 95070			3731		
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/694,9	27	PORTER, STEPH	EN C.			
		Examine	7	Art Unit				
		Sarah K.	Webb	3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TO 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- ication. ory period will apply and v I, by statute, cause the apply	HIS COMMUNICATION rent, however, may a reply be timing the spire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>19 March 2006</i>	•					
,	•)⊠ This action is						
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	, ,,							
8)[]	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the l	Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objecti				·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 8 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/694,927

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1,6-9,11,12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,658,308 to Snyder.

Snyder discloses an occlusive coil (11) with a bioactive agent carrier (12) disposed within its lumen. The carrier (12) can be made of various polymeric materials, such as polyurethane, polyester, PGA, PLA, and silk (column 2, lines 45-54), which satisfies the requirements of claims 6-9. The carrier has an elongate shape and can absorb a bioactive agent (column 2, lines 33-35).

2. Claims 1-9,11-13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,833,705 to Ken et al.

Ken discloses an occlusive coil with an agent carrier disposed within its lumen. The carrier (108,214) can be attached to the coil by adhesive at both ends or anywhere along the length of the coil (column 3, line 50 through column 4, line 11). Figure 1C shows that the carrier (214) can have a coil shape. The carrier can be made of various polymers, such as polyester, PGA, PLA, and silk (column 5, lines 25-40), which satisfy the requirements of claims 6-9. Ken states that the carrier (108,214) causes the occlusive member to resist stretching (column 3, lines 55-57).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ken et al. in view of US Patent No. 6,953,465 to Dieck et al.

Ken fails to state that the material can be any of the substances listed in claim 10. Dieck discloses another vaso-occlusive device formed of vaso-occlusive polymeric materials. Dieck lists PGA as PLA as possible materials and teaches that poly(g-ethyl glutamate), poly(DTH-iminocarbonate), and poly(bisphenol A iminocarbonate) are suitable alternatives for forming a vaso-occlusive device (column 4, lines 33-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the carrier of Ken from the alternate polymers taught by Dieck, as this is simply a substitution of functionally equivalent materials.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K. Webb whose telephone number is (571) 272-4706. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,927

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

SKW SKW 5/3/06 Julian M. Moo